Efficacy Trials - Injection Method Bohemian knotweed

Polygonum Cuspidatum Sieb. & Zucc.

Submitted: Clark County Weed Management

Philip Burgess, Director

Focus: Efficacy Results of Injection Method used on Bohemian knotweed

Process: Injection Method

Dates(s): 06/30/05

East of Klineline Pond, North of 117th Street

Location(s): Between Highway 99 and I-5

Method of Control:

Injection into 2nd segment above ground -Two Plots using 4 mL of RoundUp Pro Concentrate at full strength Seven Plots Using 6 mL RoundUp Pro Concentrate

Treatment Notes:

Two plots were set up - 4 mL of RPC injection; No small canes were present.

Seven plots were set up - 6 mL RPC injection. All plots had small canes with diameters too thin to inject. The small canes were left untreated to determine if RPC would transfer from the injected canes.

Control Results:

Herbicide Amount	Results				
4 mL	Plots were checked on August 11. All 18 canes were brownish-black.				
6 mL	Plots were checked on August 11 Very good (98%) control was achieved on the injected canes. A large number (41%) of untreated canes were also brown and withered. Five of the seven plots saw a small cane reduction of at least 50%. See attached spreadsheet for a breakdown by plot.				

Non-target Effect:

Using 4 mL of RPC injection -- None

Using 6 mL of RPC injection -- None; bigleaf maple, alder, blackberry, etc. were growing among the injected canes, with no ill effects.

Conclusion/Recommendations

Using 4 mL of RPC injection -- Excellent (100%) control was achieved It appears that RPC will control injected knotweed as well as Aquamaster.

Using 6 mL of RPC injection -- It appears that RPC will control injected knotweed as well as Aquamaster. In addition, it is possible that RPC will perform better than Aquamaster in its ability to transfer well to the rhizomes of outlying canes that are too small to inject.

Efficacy Trial Results On Bohemian 4mL Roundup Pro Concentrate	Kilotweed				
Site name	North of 117th St, between Hwy 99 and I-5				
Address					
Plot #					
Reference #					
Treatment Date	June 30, 2005				
Treatment Method:					
Method	Injection, 2nd segment above ground				
Herbicide	RoundUp Pro Concentrate				
Injection Dosage	4 mL				
Area:					
Area Treated					
Total number of plants in area (rhizomic connection?)	18				
Number of plants/stems actually treated	18				
Plant Phenology:					
Plant phenology	In flower				
Typical plant height	8'				
Typical plant stem diameter	1"				
Follow-up & Observations:					
Date	August 11, 2005				
Observations	All canes brown and crispy.				
(Two plots were set up Combined cane totals are given For	r a breakdown by plot see attached spreadsheet)				
Control percentage:					
Of plants/stems treatedNumber controlled	18				
Control percentage	100%				
Number Plants Controlled:					
Total # of plants controlled (rhizomic connection?)					
Notes:					

iite name	East of Klineline Pond				
Address	North of 117th St, between Hwy 99 and I-5				
Plot #	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
Reference #					
Treatment Date	June 30, 2005				
Treatment Method:					
Method	Injection, 2nd segment above ground				
Herbicide	RoundUp Pro Concentrate				
Injection Dosage	6 mL				
Area:					
Area Treated					
Total number of plants in area (rhizomic connection?)	412				
Number of plants/stems actually treated	354				
Plant Phenology:					
Plant phenology	In flower				
Typical plant height	9'				
Typical plant stem diameter	1"				
Follow-up & Observations:					
Date	August 11, 2005				
Observations	Nearly all injected canes are brown (348 of 354)				
	Many small untreated canes also brown (24 0f 58)				
(Seven plots were set up Combined cane tot	als are given For a breakdown by plot see attached spreadsheet)				
Control percentage:					
Of plants/stems treatedNumber controlled	348				
Control percentage	98%				
Number Plants Controlled:					
	372				

lot #	mL	# Canes	Cane Ht (ft)		Diameter (in)		INJ* on 7/14	Dead on 9/23	% Control
1	4	10	9.0		0.75		10	10	100
2	1	1	1.5		0.25		1	1	100
3	1	1	3.0		0.31		1	1	100
4	1	1	3.5		0.38		1	1	100
5	4	12	8.0		1.00		12	12	100
6	5	6	8.0		1.25		6	6	100
7	5	8	9.0		1.00		8	8	100
8 a	5	12	7.0		0.75		12	12	100
8 b	1	1	1.0		0.25		1	1	100
9	1	2	3.0		0.31		2	2	100
10	5	23	10.0		1.25		23	23	100
11	4	8	7.0		1.00		8	8	100
lot #	mL	# Canes	Cane Ht (ft)		Diameter (in)		INJ* on 7/14	Dead on 9/23	% Contro
6	5	6	8.0	48.0	1.25	7.50	6	6	100
7	5	8	9.0	72.0	1.00	8.00	8	8	100
8 a	5	12	7.0	84.0	0.75	9.00	12	12	100
10	5	23	10.0	230.0	1.25	28.75	23	23	100
				434.0		53.25			
			Avg Cane Ht		Avg Diameter		Total INJ	Total	
	5 mL		8.9		1.09		49	Dead 49	
lot #	mL	# Canes	Cane Ht (ft)		Diameter (in)		INJ* on 7/14	Dead on 9/23	% Contro
1	4	10	9.0	90.0	0.75	7.50	10	10	100
5	4	12	8.0	96.0	1.00	12.00	12	12	100
11	4	8	7.0	56.0	1.00	8.00	8	8	100
			7.10	242.0		27.50			
	4		Avg Cane Ht		Avg		Total INJ	Total Dead	
	4 mL		8.1		Diameter 0.92		30	30	
		#	•				INJ*	Dead	%
lot #	mL	Canes	Cane Ht (ft)		Diameter (in)		on 7/14	on 9/23	Contro
2	1	1	1.5	1.5	0.25	0.25	1	1	100
3	1	1	3.0	3.0	0.31	0.31	1	1	100
4	1	1	3.5	3.5	0.38	0.38	1	1	100
8 b	1	1	1.0	1.0	0.25	0.25	1	1	100
9	1	2	3.0	6.0 15.0	0.31	0.62 1.81	2	2	100
		_	Δνα	13.0	Λνα	1.01	Total	Total	
	1 mL		Avg Cane Ht		Avg Diameter		INJ	Dead	